

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Amendments

New claims 21 and 22 have been added. Therefore, claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-22 are present for examination. No new matter is added by these amendments, and these amendments are fully supported by the specification.

35 U.S.C. §103 Rejection, Prasad et al.

The final Office Action, Advisory Action and Supplemental Advisory Action have rejected claims 1, 3-8, 10-15 and 17-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited portions of U.S. Patent No. 6,539,381 to Prasad et al. (hereinafter "Prasad"). To maintain a valid obviousness rejection of the amended claims, among other things, the Office must show that each and every limitation from the claims appears in Prasad. Applicants believe major limitations from amended claims 1, 8 and 15 are neither taught nor suggested in Prasad. Applicants respectfully request that the obviousness rejection be withdrawn in light of the amended claims.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is urged. Reconsideration of the claims in their current form is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 303-571-4000.

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas D. Franklin
Reg. No. 43,616

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3834
Tel: 303-571-4000
Fax: 415-576-0300 TDF:cmb 60147659 v1